

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Technical Information Service

AD-A035 511

INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH, STOPPING POWER, CSDA RANGE, AND STRAGGLING IN GE AND GAAS FOR ELECTRONS OF ENERGY LESS THAN OR = 10 keV

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY, TENNESSEE

NOVEMBER 1976

RADC-TR-76-350 Interim Report November 1976



INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH, STOPPING POWER, CSDA RANGE, AND STRAGGLING IN Ge AND GaAs FOR ELECTRONS OF ENERGY ≤ 10 keV

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Secondary Electron Transport Phenomenology" and in part by the Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND GRIFFISS AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK 13441



REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	ON NO. 3. PECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
RADC-TR-76-350	
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Inverse Mean Free Path, Stopping Power, csda	Scientific - Interim
Range, and Straggling in Ge and GaAs for Elec-	July-September 1976
of Energy <10 keV	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	Scientific Report #4
AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(%)
J. C. Ashley V. E. Anderson	Y75-886
C. J. Tung	
R. H. Ritchie Performing organization name and address	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10 PROGRAM FLEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Health Physics Division	
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	62704H CDNA0008
Oak Ridge, TN 37830	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Hq Defense Nuclear Agency	November 1976
Washington, DC 20305	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
	37
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling O	ffice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Deputy for Electronic Technology (RADC/ETSR)	Unclassified
L G Hanscom AFB MA 01731	one rass i i rea
Monitor: John N. Bradford/(617) 861-4892	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
5. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in Block 20, if diffe	
This research was sponsored by the Defense Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration
Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.
Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.
Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.
Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Electron transport	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.
Z99QAXTA040, Work Unit 51, entitled "Second menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Electron transport Energy loss	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.
menology and in part by the Energy Research under contract with Union Carbide Corporati 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Electron transport Energy loss	ary Electron Transport Pheno- and Development Administration on.

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

JOHN N. BRADFORD Contract Monitor

BY PROPERTY CARS

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION4
II.	GENERAL FORMULATIONS
III.	DIMFP's (DIFFERENTIAL INVERSE MEAN FREE PATHS) FOR THE VALENCE BAND ELECTRONS8
IV.	DIMFP's FOR INNER SHELLS12
٧.	EXCHANGE CORRECTED DIMFP'S AND FORMULAE FOR THE TABULATIONS
VI.	REFERENCES20
VII.	GERMANIUM: EXPLANATION OF TABLES
	TABLE 1A — INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIUM24
	TABLE 1B — STOPPING POWER OF GERMANIUM FOR ELECTRONS25
	TABLE 1C — CSDA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIUM
VIII.	GALLIUM ARSENIDE: EXPLANATION OF TABLES
	TABLE 2A — INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH OF ELECTRONS IN GaAs29
	TABLE 2B — STOPPING POWER OF GaAs FOR ELECTRONS30
	TABLE 2C — CSDA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GaAs
IX.	TABLE 3 — UNIVERSAL FUNCTIONS EMPLOYED IN THE EVALUATION OF INNER SHELL CONTRIBUTIONS TO INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH, STOPPING POWER, AND MEAN SQUARE ENERGY LOSS

I. INTRODUCTION

A quantitative description of the interaction of electrons with matter over a large range of energies is a subject of basic importance in a wide variety of theoretical and applied areas. From the theoretical standpoint, calculations of energy loss and range of electrons in many different materials have formed the basis of at least two extensive tabulations.^{1,2} Both of these works are restricted to electron energies > 10 keV and are based on the Bethe theory of stopping power including various modifications and corrections (e.g., density-effect corrections). We feel that similar tabulations for electron energies < 10 keV, based on a priori calculations using currently available theoretical information, will provide useful guides for interpretation of experimental data as well as input for calculations in applied areas. Our earlier tabulations for the electron energy region E<10 keV include the solids Al and Al₂0₃]³, Si and Si0₂]⁴, and Ni, Cu, Ag, and Au]⁵. Although some of the theoretical framework required for the calculations presented here for Ge and GaAs is identical to that in Refs. 3 and 4. it will be restated here for convenience of the users of this tabulation.

Model calculations are used in the work here to describe the valence bands of the solids. The differential inverse mean free path (DIMFP), which forms the basic function required in our work, will be derived from a model insulator theory^{3,4} applied to the valence bands in Ge and GaAs. The more tightly bound, inner shells of the atoms in the solids will be assumed to be essentially unchanged in

character from those in free atoms and the DIMFP for excitation of electrons from the inner shells will be based on classical binary collision (CBC) theory. 6,7,8 An extension of CBC theory in which the cross sections are constrained to obey certain sum rules has been applied in the study of the electron slowing-down spectrum in Si. 9 Given the DIMFP's associated with the most important electron interaction processes in the solids, we then calculate inverse mean free paths, stopping powers, csda ranges, and range and energy straggling for electron energies from a few eV to 10 keV.

The details of the components of our calculations are described more fully in the next three sections. In Section V exchange corrections are discussed, expressions given for the exchange corrected DIMFP's, and formulas used in these tabulations are displayed.

II. GENERAL FORMULATIONS

A charged particle passing through a solid interacts with a large number of electrons simultaneously, and it is thus appropriate to speak of a mean free path of the charged particle against energy loss to the solid. Assuming the effect of the charged particle on the medium may be treated in first Born approximation, the inverse mean free path, differential in momentum transfer, $\hbar \vec{k}$, and energy transfer, $\hbar \omega$, for a particle of velocity v is given by

$$\frac{d^2\mu}{dkd\omega} = \frac{2e^2}{\pi\hbar v^2} \frac{1}{k} \text{ Im } \left[\frac{-1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)} \right]$$
 (1)

where $\epsilon(k,\omega)$ is the exact dielectric function of the solid. We assume in this work that the solid is isotropic and homogeneous.

For our calculations of inverse mean free path, stopping power, etc., it is sufficient to compute inverse mean free paths differential in energy transfer only. This differential inverse mean free path (DIMFP) for energy loss $\hbar \omega$ by an electron with energy $E = mv^2/2$ in the solid is given by

$$\tau(E, h\omega) = \frac{d\mu}{d(h\omega)} = \frac{1}{\pi_{a_0}E} \int_{k_{-}}^{k_{+}} \frac{dk}{k} \operatorname{Im} \left[\frac{-1}{\epsilon(k, \omega)} \right]$$
 (2)

where $\hbar k_{\pm} \equiv \sqrt{2m} \left[\sqrt{E} \pm \sqrt{E - \hbar \omega} \right]$ and $a_0 \equiv \hbar^2/me^2$. This expression assumes that the energy-momentum relation for a swift electron in the solid does not differ appreciably from that of a free electron in vacuum.

Given $\epsilon(k,\omega)$ for the solid, the quantities of interest here follow directly from $\tau(E,\hbar\omega)$. The inverse mean free path of the electron, μ , is given by integrating over allowed energy transfers as

$$\mu(E) = \int d(\hbar\omega) \ \tau(E,\hbar\omega) \ . \tag{3}$$

The rate of energy loss of the electron, or the stopping power of the medium, is given by

$$S(E) = -dE/dx = \int d(h\omega) h\omega \tau(E, h\omega) , \qquad (4)$$

and the mean square energy loss per unit path length by

$$\Omega^{2}(E) = \int d(\hbar\omega)(\hbar\omega)^{2} \tau(E,\hbar\omega) . \qquad (5)$$

With these results we may calculate the range of an electron in the continuous slowing-down approximation (csda range) by

$$R_{o}(E) = \int_{E_{o}}^{E} dE'/S(E') \qquad (6)$$

The lower limit on this integration will be discussed further in Section V. The mean square fluctuation in the range or "range straggling" will be calculated from Eq. (5) and Eq. (4) as 11

$$(R - R_o)_{AV}^2 = \int_{E_o}^{E} dE' \Omega^2(E') / [S(E')]^3.$$
 (7)

In practice, the DIMFP will be evaluated as a sum of contributions from various distinct processes. For example, we calculate a DIMFP for removing an electron from inner shells and a DIMFP for interaction with electrons in valence bands. The total DIMFP used to describe the interaction of an electron with the given solid will be given by

$$\tau(\mathbf{E}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{E}, \omega) \tag{8}$$

where the sum over i adds the contributions from the various interaction processes. The evaluation of the τ_i 's for Ge and GaAs is described in the next two sections.

III. DIMFP'S FOR VALENCE BAND ELECTRONS

The model which we have developed to describe the dielectric response function of an insulator is related to that employed by Fry^{12} in which the ground state wave function of the valence electrons is described in the tight-binding approximation, while excited states are represented by orthogonalized plane waves (OPW). In our use of the model to obtain a dielectric response function we fix the normalization of the OPW excited states by requiring that the sum rule $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \omega \, \text{Im}[\epsilon(k,\omega)] = 2\pi^2 \, \text{ne}^2/\text{m}$ be obeyed where n is the density of electrons in the valence band. In addition we assume that the solid is uniform and homogeneous. The dielectric response function corresponding to this model solid is convenient and flexible for use, can be fitted to the optical dielectric function in the limit of very long wavelengths ($k \rightarrow 0$), and describes the single-particle properties of excited electrons. The existence of plasma oscillations emerges naturally as one studies the response of the system to longitudinal electric perturbations. We have found quite reasonable results in the application of this model to Al_20_3 and $Si0_2$. 3,4,13,14

Since a detailed discussion of the insulator model is planned for publication, ¹⁵ we quote here only the results needed for these

calculations. The result required here is the imaginary part of the dielectric response function for the model insulator given by

$$Im[\epsilon(k,\omega)] = \pi ne^{2} \Gamma / h \beta k \Lambda$$
(9)

where

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{\{\alpha^2 + (k-p)^2\}^3} - \frac{1}{\{\alpha^2 + (k+p)^2\}^3} \right] - \frac{32\alpha^4}{(\alpha^2 + p^2)^2 (4\alpha^2 + k^2)^2} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^2 + (k-p)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2 + (k+p)^2} \right] + \frac{1024 pk \alpha^8}{(\alpha^2 + p^2)^4 (4\alpha^2 + k^2)^4} \right\} ,$$
(10)

$$\Lambda = \left[\omega_{B} + \frac{h\beta}{m}(k^{2} + \alpha^{2})\right]\left[\frac{1}{8\alpha^{5}} - \frac{32\alpha^{3}}{(4\alpha^{2} + k^{2})^{4}}\right], \quad (11)$$

and

$$p = [m(\omega - \omega_g)/h\beta]^{1/2} . \qquad (12)$$

Here $\hbar\omega_B$ is the average binding energy of the valence band and β and α are parameters which may be adjusted to make the theory agree with optical dielectric function measurements in the $k \to 0$ limit. In the $k \to 0$ limit we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\epsilon(0,\omega)\right] = \frac{2^{9}\pi ne^{2}}{3h\beta} \frac{\alpha^{7}}{(\omega_{B} + \frac{h\beta}{m}\alpha^{2})} \frac{p^{3}}{(\alpha^{2} + p^{2})^{6}} . \tag{13}$$

Given the imaginary part of the dielectric function, Eq. (9), for fixed values of n, β , ω_B , and α the real part of $\epsilon(k,\omega)$ may be obtained numerically using the Kramers-Kronig relation,

$$\operatorname{Re}[\epsilon(k,\omega)] = 1 + \frac{2P}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega_{0} \frac{\omega_{0} \operatorname{Im}[\epsilon(k,\omega_{0})]}{\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega^{2}}. \tag{14}$$

Equation (13) is used to fit experimental data on $Im[\epsilon(o,\omega)]$, as obtained from optical measurements or from electron energy loss measurements, to determine parameters for the insulator model. Since the general shape of this function for most semiconductors shows two major peaks separated by a few eV, two terms of the form on the right-hand side of Eq. (13) (corresponding to the combination of two single orbitals in the OPW excited state wave function) were used to provide a reasonable fit to the data. More specifically, the parameters for the tabulations were determined in the following manner:

A. Germanium

0

For 4 electrons per atom contributing to the valence band, and for a density of $5.30~\mathrm{gm/cm}^3$ for the solid Ge

an initial set of parameters was determined by fitting the optical data of Ref. 16 to obtain $Im[\epsilon(o,\omega)]$. From Eq. (14) $Re[\epsilon(o,\omega)]$ is determined and $Im[-1/\epsilon(o,\omega)]$ is computed for comparison with the values obtained for this function using energy-loss spectroscopy. The small changes were made in the initial set of parameters so that a good fit was obtained to the measured $Im[-1/\epsilon(o,\omega)]$. The parameter values obtained in this manner are:

- (a) (.9) of the 4 valence electrons: $\hbar \omega_{B_1} = 1.9 \text{ eV}$, $\alpha_{1} a_{0} = 0.485$, $\beta_{1} = 0.5$
- (b) (.1) of the 4 valence electrons: $\hbar \omega_{B_2} = 3.9 \text{ eV}$, $\alpha_2 a_0 = 0.19$, $\beta_2 = 0.5$.

Given these values, $Im[-1/\epsilon(k,\omega)]$ is calculated using Eq. (9) and Eq. (14) to determine the DIMFP for interaction with the valence band electrons. The contribution of this valence band resulting from the combination of these two orbitals will be identified in the tables by VALENCE.

B. Gallium Arsenide

For application of the model insulator theory to GaAs we used experimental data obtained entirely from electron energy loss spectroscopy. ¹⁷ As with Ge, an initial set of parameters was obtained from data on $Im[\epsilon(o,\omega)]$ and the final set of values obtained by requiring a good fit to $Im[-1/\epsilon(o,\omega)]$. With 8 valence

electrons per molecule and a density of 5.31 gm/cm³ for solid GaAs, the parameters were determined to be:

- (a) (.95) of the 8 valence electrons: $\hbar \omega_{\rm B_1} = 2.45$ eV, $\alpha_{\rm 1} a_{\rm 0} = 0.53$, $\beta_{\rm 1} = 0.5$
- (b) (.05) of the 8 valence electrons: $\hbar \omega_{B_2} = 4.3$ eV, $\alpha_2 a_0 = 0.34$, $\beta_2 = 0.5$.

Given these values, Im $[-1/\epsilon(k,\omega)]$ is calculated using Eq. (9) and Eq. (14) to determine the DIMFP for interaction with valence band electrons. The contribution of this valence band will be identified in the tables by VALENCE.

IV. DIMFP'S FOR INNER SHELLS

From a general expression for the dielectric function of a homogeneous, isotropic system 18 we may show for values of ω which correspond to ionization of the i^{th} inner shell in a solid that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{-1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)}\right] \approx \operatorname{Im} \epsilon(k,\omega) \approx \frac{2\pi n_i e^2}{m\omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}f_i(k,\omega)}{\mathrm{d}\omega}$$
(15)

where $df_i/d\omega$ is the generalized oscillator strength (GOS) for transistions from the i^{th} level in the atom to a continuum final state. Here n_i is the number of electrons in the i^{th} level per unit volume in the given solid. Equation (2) thus leads to

$$\tau_{i}^{\dagger} (E,\hbar\omega) = \frac{2\pi e^{4}n_{i}}{E\hbar\omega} \int_{k}^{k_{+}} \frac{dk}{k} \frac{df_{i}(k,\omega)}{d(\hbar\omega)}$$
(16)

where m_{ω} is the energy transfer. In terms of the doublydifferential cross section per electron for excitation into continuum final states this equation becomes

$$\tau_{i} (E, \hbar\omega) = n_{i} \int_{k_{-}}^{k_{+}} dk \frac{d^{2}\sigma_{i}}{dkd(\hbar\omega)}, \qquad (17)$$

where

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_i}{dkd(\hbar\omega)} = \frac{2\pi e^4}{E} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega} \frac{df_i(k,\omega)}{d(\hbar\omega)} . \tag{18}$$

The basic doubly-differential cross section in the classical binary collision (CBC) model is given for spherically symmetric distributions of velocities of the atomic electrons by 6,7

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_i}{dkd(\hbar\omega)} = \frac{2\pi me^4}{\hbar^3 E v_i k^4} \theta (\hbar\omega - \varepsilon_i) \theta (E - \hbar\omega)$$
 (19)

where

E = energy of the incident electron, $v_i = \text{speed of struck electron in the i}^{th} \text{ level } = \sqrt{2E_i/m} \text{ ,}$ $\varepsilon_i = \text{"ionization energy" of struck electron,}$

 $\theta(x) = (0 \text{ for } x<0; 1 \text{ for } x>0).$

From conservation of energy and momentum the limits on the integration in Eq. (17) for the CBC model are given by

For a given atomic level the function $\frac{d^2\sigma_i}{dkd(\hbar\omega)}$, Eq. (19), becomes a function also of v_i , and is averaged over a distribution of electron speeds for this level. We use here the hydrogenic speed distribution given by 8,9

$$f(v_i) = (\frac{32}{\pi}) v_i^2 v_{0i}^5 (v_i^2 + v_{0i}^2)^{-4}$$
 (21)

where v_{0i} is the mean speed of electrons in the i^{th} level and is set equal to $\sqrt{2\epsilon_i/m}$. The GOS, Eq. (18), and DIMFP, Eq. (17), averaged over this speed distribution for the i^{th} level are defined by

$$\frac{df_{\hat{i}}^{AV}(k,\omega)}{d(\hbar\omega)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dv_{\hat{i}} f(v_{\hat{i}}) \frac{df_{\hat{i}}(k,\omega)}{d(\hbar\omega)}, \qquad (22)$$

$$\tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathsf{AV}} \quad (\mathsf{E}, \hbar\omega) = \int_{\mathsf{O}}^{\infty} \mathsf{d} \mathsf{v}_{\mathbf{i}} \; \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}) \; \tau_{\mathbf{i}} \; (\mathsf{E}, \; \hbar\omega). \tag{23}$$

The results of these integrations are 8,9

$$\frac{df_{i}^{AV}(\eta,x)}{dx} = \frac{2^{8}}{3\pi} \frac{x\eta^{3}}{[(x-\eta)^{2}+4\eta^{2}]^{3}}$$
(24)

$$\tau_{i}^{AV}(\beta,x) = \frac{A_{i}}{3\pi a_{0}} \frac{\theta(x-1)}{\beta x^{3}} [(3x+4)(\tan^{-1}y + \frac{y}{1+y^{2}}) + \frac{2y(x-4)}{(1+y^{2})^{2}}], \qquad (25)$$

where the variables are defined by

$$n = \hbar k / \sqrt{2m \epsilon_i}$$
,
 $β = E/\epsilon_i$,
 $x = \hbar ω / \epsilon_i$,
 $y = \sqrt{\beta - x}$,
 $A_i = 8\pi a_0^3 n_i (R/\epsilon_i)^2$,
 $a_0 = \hbar^2 / me^2 = 0.529 Å$,
 $R = e^2 / 2a_0 = 13.6 eV$.

It is well known that the CBC cross sections do not satisfy the fundamental Bethe sum ${\rm rule}^9$. It is straightforward to show that if

$$f_i^{AV}(\eta) = \int_1^\infty \frac{df_i^{AV}(\eta, x) dx}{dx}$$
 (26)

then from Eq. (24), $f_i^{AV}(\eta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ as it should, but that $f_i^{AV}(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. To remedy this deficiency in an approximate way we may add oscillator strength at energy losses around ϵ_i with k-dependent amplitude $1-f_i^{AV}(k)$. We approximate the true GOS of a given shell by

$$\frac{df_{i}(k,\omega)}{d\omega} = \frac{df_{i}(o,\omega)}{d\omega} \left[1 - f_{i}^{AV}(k)\right] + \frac{df_{i}^{AV}(k,\omega)}{d\omega} , \qquad (27)$$

 $\frac{df_i(o,\omega)}{d\omega} \mbox{ is the known optical oscillator strength. That} \\ \mbox{is, we have used an interpolation scheme for the GOS which reduces} \\ \mbox{to the optical oscillator strength for small momentum transfers} \\ \mbox{and which goes over into the CBC GOS values for large momentum transfer.} \\$

We have used a form

$$\frac{\mathrm{df}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{o},\omega)}{\mathrm{d}\omega} = C \frac{(\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}})}{(a + \omega)^{\alpha + 1}} \tag{28}$$

to represent the optical oscillator strength of a given shell and adjusted parameters a and α so that the total stopping power including that of the valence band agrees with the Bethe formula in the high energy region. Parameters chosen for inner shells of both Ge and GaAs are α = 3.5 and a = 0.165.

From the τ_i , obtained from Eq. (17), (18), and (21), we calculate an exchange corrected DIMFP from Eq. (35) of Section V. Then using Eqs. (36)-(38) of Section V, we calculate exchange corrected inverse mean free path, stopping power, and mean square energy loss which may be written in the form

$$\mu_{i}(\beta) = \frac{4n_{i}a_{0}^{2}}{(\varepsilon_{i}/R)^{2}} F(\beta), \qquad (29)$$

$$S_{i}(\beta) = \frac{4\varepsilon_{i}n_{i}a_{0}^{2}}{(\varepsilon_{i}/R)^{2}}G(\beta), \qquad (30)$$

$$\Omega_{i}^{2}(\beta) = \frac{4\varepsilon_{i}^{2}n_{i}a_{0}^{2}}{(\varepsilon_{i}/R)^{2}} H(\beta) . \tag{31}$$

The universal functions F, G, and H defined by these equations are tabulated in Table 3 as a function of β .

V. EXCHANGE CORRECTED DIMFP'S AND FORMULAE FOR THE TABULATIONS

We have included the effect of electron exchange in our calculations in a simple manner based on the form of the Mott formula (nonrelativistic Møller formula) for scattering of an incident electron with a free electron. The cross section for finding a scattered electron with energy W per unit energy interval is given by 11

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dW} = \frac{\pi e^4}{E} \left[\frac{1}{W^2} + \frac{1}{(E-W)^2} - \frac{1}{W(E-W)} \right]$$
 (32)

for an incident electron of energy E, except for energies close to W = 0 and W = E. Near W = 0 and W = E the interference term (third term on the right side of Eq. (32) is effectively zero.

The DIMFP for excitation of an electron from a particular state i may be written in the form

$$\tau_{i}(E,\hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{E} F_{i}(E,\hbar\omega). \tag{33}$$

If we assume that the width of the level from which an electron is excited is quite narrow, we obtain from Eq. (33) the DIMFP for production of a secondary electron with energy $E_{\rm s}$ as

$$\tau_{i}^{S}(E,E_{S}) = \frac{1}{E} F_{i}(E,E_{i}^{B}+E_{S})$$
 (34)

where E_i^B is the binding energy of the ith level (a positive quantity). The exchange corrected DIMFP is taken as

$$\tau_{i}^{\text{exc}} (E, \hbar\omega) = \frac{1}{E} \left\{ F_{i}(E, \hbar\omega) + F_{i}(E, E+E_{i}^{B}-\hbar\omega) - \left[1 - \sqrt{E_{i}^{B}/E} \right] \left[F_{i}(E, \hbar\omega) F_{i}(E, E+E_{i}^{B}-\hbar\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$
(35)

Since $E\tau_i \propto 1/(\hbar\omega)^2$ for large E and $\hbar\omega$, Eq. (35) reduces in this limit to the form given by Eq. (32). The factor $1-\sqrt{E_i^B/E}$ reduces the contribution of the third term in Eq. (35) as $E \to E_i^B$. This form for the exchange corrected DIMFP has been used in our calculations for all the inner shells and for the valence bands (since our model assumes the width of these levels to be quite narrow).

If we now define the more energetic of the two electrons after collision to be the primary and account for exchange through Eq. (35), Eq. (3) gives the contribution to the inverse mean free path due to excitation of an electron from the ith level as

$$\mu_{\mathbf{i}}(E) = \int_{E_{\mathbf{i}}^{B}}^{(E+E_{\mathbf{i}}^{B})/2} d(\hbar\omega) \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{exc}(E,\hbar\omega) . \tag{36}$$

Similarly, for the stopping power and mean square energy loss per unit path length, we have from Eq. (4) and Eq. (5)

$$S_{i}(E) = \int_{E_{i}^{B}}^{(E+E_{i}^{B})/2} d(\hbar\omega) \, \hbar\omega \, \tau_{i}^{exc} (E,\hbar\omega)$$
(37)

and

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{i}}^{2}(E) = \int_{E_{\mathbf{i}}^{B}}^{(E+E_{\mathbf{i}}^{B})/2} d(\hbar\omega)(\hbar\omega)^{2} \tau_{\mathbf{i}}^{exc} (E,\hbar\omega).$$
 (38)

For the remaining calculations we form the sums

$$S_{\text{exc}}(E) = \sum_{i} S_{i}(E)$$
 (39)

and

$$\Omega_{\text{exc}}^2 (E) = \sum_{i} \Omega_{i}^2(E)$$
 (40)

where the index i includes the terms appropriate for a given solid, including exchange corrections as indicated above. The csda range is calculated from

$$R_{(10)}(E) = \int_{10eV}^{E} dE'/S_{exc}(E')$$
 (41)

corresponding to an electron slowing down in a <u>continuous</u> manner from an energy E to 10 eV. The mean square fluctuation in the csda range based on Eq. (7) is calculated as

$$[\Delta R_{(10)}]_{AV}^2 = \int_{0}^{E} dE' \, \Omega_{exc}^2 \, (E') / [S_{exc}(E')]^3.$$
 (42)

VI. REFERENCES

- M. J. Berger and S. M. Seltzer in "Studies in Penetration of Charged Particles in Matter" (National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1964, Publ. No. 1133), pp. 205-268.
- 2. L. Pages, et al., Atomic Data 4, 1-127 (1972).
- 3. J. C. Ashley, C. J. Tung, V. E. Anderson, and R. H. Ritchie, "Inverse Mean Free Path, Stopping Power, CSDA Range, and Straggling in Aluminum and Aluminum Oxide for Electrons of Energy < 10 keV," AFCRL-TR-75-0583 (December 1975).</p>
- 4. C. J. Tung, J. C. Ashley, V. E. Anderson, and R. H. Ritchie, "Inverse Mean Free Path, Stopping Power, CSDA Range, and Straggling in Silicon and Silicon Dioxide for Electrons of Energy < 10 keV," RACD-TR-76-125 (April 1976).</p>
- 5. J. C. Ashley, C. J. Tung, V. E. Anderson, and R. H. Ritchie, "Inverse Mean Free Path, Stopping Power, CSDA Range, and Straggling in Ni, Cu, Ag, and Au for Electrons of Energy <10 keV Calculated from a Statistical Model," RADC-TR-76-220 (June 1976).
- M. Gryzinski, Phys. Rev. <u>115</u>, 374-383 (1959); Phys. Rev. <u>138</u>, A305-A321, A322-A335, A336-A358 (1965).
- 7. L. Vriens, Phys. Rev. 141, 88-92 (1966).
- 8. J. D. Garcia, Phys. Rev. 177, 223-229 (1969).

- L. C. Emerson, R. D. Birkhoff, V. E. Anderson, and R. H. Ritchie,
 Phys. Rev. B 7, 1798-1811 (1973).
- J. Lindhard, Kgl. Danske Vid. Sels. Mat. Fys. Medd. <u>28</u>, NO. 8,
 1-57 (1954); R. H. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. <u>114</u>, 644-654 (1959).
- 11. See, e. g., H. A. Bethe and Julius Ashkin in Experimental
 Nuclear Physics, Vol. 1, edited by E. Segre (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1953), pp. 166-357.
- 12. J. L. Fry, Phys. Rev. 179, 892-905 (1969).
- R. H. Ritchie, C. J. Tung, V. E. Anderson, and J. C. Ashley,
 Radiat. Res 64 181-204 (1975).
- 14. J. C. Ashley, C. J. Tung, and R. H. Ritchie, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-22, 2533-2536 (1975).
- 15. R. H. Ritchie, et al. (to be published)
- F. Herman, R. L. Kortum, C. D. Kuglin, and J. L. Shay, <u>II-IV</u> <u>Semiconducting Compounds</u> (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967), <u>P. 503.</u>
- 17. J. Daniels, C. v. Festenberg, H. Raether, and K. Zeppenfeld, Springer Tracts in Mod. Phys. <u>54</u>, 77-135 (1970).
- 18. See, e.g., D. Pines and P. Nozieres, <u>The Theory of Quantum Liquids</u>:

 Vol. 1, Normal Fermi Liquids (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1966).

VII. GERMANIUM: EXPLANATION OF TABLES

General Notes

- 1. Electron energies are measured from the bottom of the conduction band.
- 2. The density of solid Ge is taken to be $5.30q/cm^3$.
- 3. The computer-printed units are translated as:

EV....eV A.... \mathring{A} EV2..... $(eV)^2$ A-1... \mathring{A}^{-1} G/CM3.... g/cm^3 A2... \mathring{A}^2

4. The numerical printout is in the form, e.g.,

 $2.8D-01 = 2.8 \times 10^{-1}$

TABLE 1A ___ INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIUM

INNER SH. Inner shell contribution to inverse mean free path

VALENCE Valence band contribution to inverse mean free path

TOTAL μ - total inverse mean free path = sum of inner shell and valence band contributions

TABLE 1B --- STOPPING POWER OF GERMANIUM FOR ELECTRONS

INNER SH. Inner shell contribution to stopping power

VALENCE Valence band contribution to stopping power

TOTAL S - total stopping power = sum of inner shell and valence band contributions

TABLE 1C — CSDA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIUM

(CSDA RANGE (E - 10 EV)	(10) - the range of an electron in the of slowing-down approximation in go-energy E to 10 eV.	continuous- ing from an
1	MEAN SQ. EN. LOSS	- the mean square fluctuation in the loss per unit path length	ne energy
	MEAN SQ. RANGE FL.	$^{\Delta R}$ (10) $^{]2}$ AV - the mean square fluctuation the range about the mean R (10)	
F	RELATIVE RANGE ETRAGGLING	$[\Delta R_{(10)}]_{AV}^{2}]^{1/2}/R_{(10)}$	

TABLE 1A-INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIUM

EV INNER SH. VALENCE TOTA	
	L
1.00D 00	33333333333333333333333333333333333333

TABLE 18-STOPPING POWER JE GERMANIUM FOR ELECTRONS

ELECTRON	STOPPING	POWER IN UNITS	OF EV/A
ΞV	INNER SH.	VALENCE	TOTAL
1.200 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.761D-03 5.415D-03 8.401D-03 1.141D-02 1.563D-02 2.026D-02 2.617D-02 3.225D-02 3.225D-02 3.943D-02 4.733D-02 6.641D-02 7.810D-02 7.810D-02 7.810D-01 1.174D-01 1.298D-01 3.090D-01 1.150D 00 1.796D 00 2.398D 00 2.901D 00 3.295D 00 3.295D 00 3.593D 00 3.993D 0	0.0 0.0 3.761D-03 8.401D-03 1.141D-02 1.563D-02 2.026D-02 2.026D-02 2.026D-02 2.026D-02 3.9243D-02 4.733D-02 4.733D-02 9.111D-02 1.174D-01 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-00 1.174D-01 1.175B-01

TABLE 10-CSDA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GERMANIJA

1.500 01	ELECTRON ENERGY EV	CSDA RANGE (E - 10EV)	MEAN 52. EN. LOSS EV/A	MEAN SQ. RANGE FL. A2	RELATIVE RANGE STRAGG_ING
7.500 03 5.4060 03 5.1350 02 2.1400 06 2.706D-01 8.000 03 6.0220 03 5.1170 02 2.6180 06 2.686D-01 8.500 03 6.6670 03 5.0980 02 3.1640 06 2.668D-01 9.000 03 7.3410 03 5.0780 02 3.7840 06 2.650D-01 9.500 03 8.0430 03 5.0570 02 4.4830 06 2.632D-01	ENERGY EV 1.500 01 2.500 01 3.500 01 4.500 01 4.500 01 4.500 01 6.500 01 7.500 01 6.500 01 7.500 01 8.500 01 9.000 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 3.500 02 2.500 02 3.500 03 3.500 03 3.50	(E - 10EV) A 2.532D 01 3.686D 01 4.275D 01 4.620D 01 4.861D 01 5.045D 01 5.193D 01 5.318D 01 5.424D 01 5.519D 01 5.607D 01 5.697D 01 5.699D 01 5.769D 01 5.921D 01 5.925D 01 6.140D 01 6.1805D 01 7.623D 01 8.421D 01 9.266D 01 1.016D 02 1.111D 02 1.211D 02 1.316D 02 1.427D 02 1.543D 02 1.427D 02 1.543D 03 1.571D 03 1.571D 03 1.571D 03 1.571D 03 1.571D 03 1.571D 03 1.5736D 03 1.5736D 03 3.736D 03 3.736D 03 3.736D 03 3.736D 03	EN. LOSS EV/A 6.1210-01 1.9310 00 4.9860 00 1.1450 01 2.1990 01 5.3850 01 7.4570 01 9.9540 02 1.4840 02 1.68670 02 2.1560 02	RANGE FL. 7.159D 02 9.074D 02 9.702D 02 9.967D 02 1.012D 03 1.023D 03 1.033D 03 1.033D 03 1.043D 03 1.043D 03 1.052D 03 1.056D 03 1.064D 03 1.064D 03 1.064D 03 1.072D 03 1.072D 03 1.075D 03 1.122D 03 1.257D 03 1.262D 03 3.630D 03 4.086D 03 4.592D 03 5.767D 03 6.441D 03 1.714D 04 3.782D 04 7.286D 04 1.263D 05 1.068D 06 1.370D 06	RANGE STRAGG_ING 1.057D DO 8.173D-D1 7.286D-D1 6.833D-D1 6.544D-D1 6.183D-D1 6.058D-D1 5.955D-D1 5.966D-D1 5.786D-D1 5.712D-D1 5.644D-D1 5.519D-D1 5.5460D-D1 5.5460D-D1 5.404D-D1 5.350D-D1 4.880D-D1 4.507D-D1 4.507D-D1 3.973D-D1 3.974D-D1 3
	7.500 03 8.000 03 8.500 03 9.000 03 9.500 03	5.4060 03 6.0220 03 6.6670 03 7.3410 03 8.0430 03	5.135) 02 5.1170 02 5.0980 02 5.078) 02 5.0570 02	2.140D 06 2.618D 06 3.164D 06 3.784D 06 4.483D 06	2.706D-31 2.686D-31 2.668D-31 2.650D-31 2.632D-31

VIII. GALLIUM ARSENIDE: EXPLANATION OF TABLES

General Notes

VALENCE

- 1. Electron energies are measured from the bottom of the conduction band.
- 2. The density of solid GaAs is taken to be 5.31 g/cm³.
- 3. The computer-printed units are translated as:

EVeV	AÅ
EV2(eV) ²	A-1
G/CM3·····g/cm ³	A2^2

- The numerical printout is in the form, e.g., $2.8D-2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-2}$. 4.
- TABLE 2A ____ INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH OF ELECTRON IN GALLIUM ARSENIDE

INNER SH. Inner shell contribution to inverse mean free path

TOTAL μ - total inverse mean free path = sum of inner shell

Valence band contribution to inverse mean free path

and valence band contributions

TABLE 2B — STOPPING POWER OF GALLIUM ARSENIDE FOR ELECTRONS

INNER SH. Inner shell contribution to stopping power

Valence band contribution to stopping power VALENCE

TOTAL S - total stopping power = sum of inner shell and valence band contributions

TABLE 2C —— CSDA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GALLIUM ARSENIDE

CSDA RANGE (E-10EV)	R(10) - the range of an electron in the continuous- slowing-down approximation in going from an energy E to 10 eV
MEAN SQ. EN. LOSS	Ω_{exc}^2 - the mean square fluctuation in the energy loss per unit path length
MEAN SQ. RANGE FL.	$[\Delta R_{(10)}]_{AV}^2$ - the mean square fluctuation in the range about the mean csda range $R_{(10)}$
RELATIVE RANGE STRAGGLING	$\{[\Delta R_{(10)}]_{AV}^2\}^{1/2}/R_{(10)}$

TABLE 24-INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH DE ELECTRONS IN GALLIUM ARSENIDE

ELECTRON	INVERS	E MFP IN UNITS	OF A-1
ΞV	INNER SH.	VALENCE	TOTAL
1.009 00 1.500 00 2.000 00 2.500 00 3.000 00 3.500 00 4.000 00 4.500 00	0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 3.1070-03 4.3620-03 5.7410-03 7.2290-03 8.8150-03 1.0490-02	0.0 0.0 3.1070-03 4.3620-03 5.7410-03 7.2290-03 8.8150-03 1.0490-02
5.000 00 5.500 00 5.000 00 7.000 00 7.500 00 8.000 00 8.500 00 9.000 00 1.000 01	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1.224D-02 1.407D-02 1.597D-02 1.794D-02 1.996D-02 2.204D-02 2.418D-02 2.637D-02 2.861D-02 3.089D-02 3.323D-02	1 · 22 4D - 02 1 · 407D - 02 1 · 597D - 02 1 · 794D - 02 1 · 996D - 02 2 · 204D - 02 2 · 418D - 02 2 · 637D - 02 2 · 861D - 02 3 · 089D - 02 3 · 323D - 02
1.500 01 2.000 01 2.500 01 3.000 01 3.500 01 4.000 01 4.500 01 5.000 01 5.000 01	0.0 0.0 0.0 6.722D-03 1.7910-02 3.100D-02 4.0880-02 4.8150-02 5.499D-02 5.969D-02	5.866D-02 8.718D-02 1.193D-01 1.470D-01 1.662D-01 1.793D-01 1.892D-01 1.995D-01 1.995D-01	5.866D-02 8.718D-02 1.193D-01 1.537D-01 1.841D-01 2.103D-01 2.301D-01 2.440D-01 2.545D-01 2.604D-01
6.500 01 7.000 01 7.500 01 8.000 01 8.500 01 9.000 01 9.500 01 1.000 02 1.500 02 2.000 02	6.387D-02 6.747D-02 7.008D-02 7.197D-02 7.336D-02 7.425D-02 7.472D-02 7.493D-02 7.084D-02 6.452D-02	2.0050-01 1.990D-01 1.966D-01 1.938D-01 1.905D-01 1.871D-01 1.835D-01 1.800D-01 1.479D-01	2.643D-01 2.664D-01 2.667D-01 2.658D-01 2.639D-01 2.613D-01 2.583D-01 2.550D-01 2.187D-01 1.891D-01
2.500 02 3.000 02 3.500 02 4.000 02 4.500 02 5.500 02 5.500 02 6.500 02	5.8680-02 5.3620-02 4.9300-02 4.5610-02 4.2440-02 3.9700-02 3.7300-02 3.5190-02 3.3330-02 3.1660-02	1.0770-01 9.498D-02 8.512D-02 7.724D-02 7.076D-02 6.536D-02 6.078D-02 5.684D-02 5.341D-02	1.664D-01 1.486D-01 1.344D-01 1.229D-01 1.132D-01 1.051D-01 9.809D-02 9.203D-02 8.674D-02 8.206D-02
7.500 02 8.000 02 8.500 02 9.000 02 9.500 02 1.000 03 1.500 03 2.000 03	3.0170-02 2.8820-02 2.7590-02 2.6470-02 2.5450-02 1.8050-02 1.4430-02 1.2090-02	5.040D-02 4.774D-02 4.5370-02 4.323D-02 4.1290-02 3.954D-02 3.794D-02 2.725D-02 2.1460-02 1.780D-02	7.7910-02 7.4180-02 7.0820-02 6.7760-02 6.4980-02 6.2440-02 4.5300-02 3.5890-02 2.9880-02
3.000 03 3.500 03 4.000 03 4.500 03 5.500 03 6.000 03 6.500 03 7.000 03 8.000 03	1 • 04 40 - 02 9 • 20 9D - 03 3 • 25 4D - 03 7 • 48 9D - 03 6 • 86 1D - 03 6 • 33 7D - 03 5 • 89 1D - 03 5 • 50 8D - 03 5 • 17 4D - 03 4 • 88 1D - 03 4 • 62 1D - 03	1.525D-02 1.338D-02 1.194D-02 1.079D-02 9.856D-03 9.079D-03 8.421D-03 7.857D-03 7.363D-03 6.9400-03	2.569D-02 2.259D-02 2.019D-02 1.828D-02 1.672D-02 1.542D-02 1.337D-02 1.254D-02 1.182D-02
8.500 03 9.000 03 9.500 03 1.000 04	4.3390-03 4.1800-03 3.9920-03 3.8200-03	6.223D-03 5.920D-03 5.647D-03 5.400D-03	1.061D-02 1.010D-02 9.639D-03 9.220D-03

TABLE 23-STOPPING POWER OF GALLIUM ARSENIDE FOR ELECTRONS

EVERGY INNER SH. VALENCE TOTAL 1.000 00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.300 00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.300 00 0.0 1.001D-02 1.001D-02 2.300 00 0.0 1.381D-02 1.381D-02 3.500 00 0.0 1.8760-02 1.8760-02 3.500 00 0.0 1.8760-02 2.406D-02 4.300 00 0.0 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 4.300 00 0.0 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 4.300 00 0.0 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 4.300 00 0.0 4.659D-02 4.6590-02 4.500 00 0.0 5.450D-02 5.850D-02 6.500 00 0.0 6.2450D-02 5.850D-02 6.500 00 0.0 7.450D-02 5.850D-02 7.500 00 0.0 9.329D-02 7.210D-02 7.500 00 0.0 1.0 4.2450-02 7.210D-02 7.500 00 0.0 1.0 4.2450-02 7.210D-02 8.500 00 0.0 1.0 4.2450-02 7.210D-02 8.500 00 0.0 1.0 4.90-01 1.0490-01 9.500 00 0.0 1.1730-01 1.1730-01 9.500 00 0.0 1.4450-01 1.4550-01 1.500 01 0.0 1.5940-01 1.4550-01 1.500 01 0.0 1.5940-01 1.4550-01 1.500 01 0.0 1.5940-01 1.4550-01 2.500 01 0.0 3.6020-01 3.6020-01 2.500 01 0.0 1.5940-01 1.6720 00 1.6200 3.000 01 1.3030-01 1.6720 00 1.6200 3.000 01 1.3030-01 1.6720 00 1.6220 00 3.500 01 1.5030-01 2.6780 00 3.6310 00 4.000 01 1.5940-01 1.6820 00 3.500 01 1.3050-01 1.6720 00 1.6820 00 4.000 01 1.3050-01 1.6720 00 1.6820 00 4.000 01 1.3050-01 1.6720 00 1.6820 00 3.500 01 1.3050-01 2.6780 00 3.6310 00 4.000 01 2.7760 00 3.6820 00 5.8450 00 5.000 01 1.8880 00 3.5500 00 4.3550 00 5.000 01 1.8880 00 3.6800 00 5.8450 00 5.000 01 2.7560 00 3.6820 00 6.6010 00 7.500 01 2.7560 00 3.6820 00 6.8790 00 8.000 01 3.6650 00 3.6820 00 6.8790 00 9.000 01 3.6650 00 3.7700 00 6.9560 00 9.000 02 3.7700 00 3.7700 00 6.9500 00 9.000 01 3.7750 00 1.9830 00 5.4910 00 8.000 02 3.6800 00 3.1980 00 6.8790 00 9.000 02 3.5600 00 1.0240 00 3.3550 00 9.000 01 3.6650 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6650 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6650 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 3.6600 00 9.000 01 3.
1.500 00 0.0 0.0 1.001D-02 1.001D-02 1.500D-02 2.500D 00 0.0 1.381D-02 1.381D-02 3.500D 00 0.0 1.876D-02 1.876D-02 1.876D-02 3.500D 00 0.0 0.0 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 3.500D 00 0.0 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 3.132D-02 3.500D 00 0.0 3.858D-02 3.858D-02 3.858D-02 5.500D 00 0.0 0.0 4.659D-02 4.659D-02 5.500D 00 0.0 4.659D-02 4.659D-02 6.500D 00 0.0 5.450D-02 6.510D-02 6.500D 00 0.0 6.311D-02 6.311D-02 6.500D 00 0.0 6.311D-02 6.311D-02 6.500D 00 0.0 7.243D-02 7.243D-02 7.500D 00 0.0 8.249D-02 8.249D-02 8.249D-02 8.249D-02 8.550D 00 0.0 1.049D-01 1.050D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.305D-01 1.594D-01
8.000 03 6.1890-01 1.7950-01 7.9830-01 8.500 03 5.9280-01 1.7060-01 7.6340-01 9.000 03 5.6910-01 1.6260-01 7.3160-01

TABLE 20-05DA RANGE AND STRAGGLING OF ELECTRONS IN GALLIUM ARSENIDE

ELECTRON	CSDA RANGE	MEAN 53.	MEAN SQ.	RELATIVE
ENERGY	(E - 10EV)	EN. LOSS	RANGE FL.	RANGE
EV	A	EV/A	A2	STRAGG_ING
ENERGY EV 1.500 01 2.500 01 3.500 01 4.000 01 4.500 01 5.500 01 5.500 01 6.500 01 7.500 01 7.500 01 7.500 01 7.500 01 7.500 01 7.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 2.500 02 3.500 02 4.500 02 7.500 03 7.500 03	(E - 10 eV) A 2.1000 01 3.1230 01 3.7050 01 4.0500 01 4.2700 01 4.5530 01 4.5530 01 4.5610 01 4.7570 01 4.8460 01 4.9290 01 5.0860 01 5.0860 01 5.1610 01 5.3780 01 5.3780 01 5.3780 01 5.4500 01 6.1630 01 6.1630 01 6.1630 01 7.6960 01 8.5310 01 9.4160 01 1.0350 02 1.1340 02 1.2380 02 1.1340 02 1.2380 02 1.1340 02 1.2380 02 1.130 02 1.2380 02 1.3480 02 1.5320 02 1.130 02 2.5640 02 2.5640 02 2.5640 02 2.5640 02 2.5520 03 1.5520 03 1.5520 03 1.5520 03 1.5520 03	EN. LOSS EV/A 7.8917-01 2.3677-00 5.5997-00 1.1567-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-01 2.6127-02 1.3627-02 1.3627-02 1.3627-02 1.3627-02 1.3637-02 1.3627-02 1.3637-02 2.3437-02	RANGE FL- A2 5.15 3D 02 6.724D 02 7.348D 02 7.636D 02 7.88 3D 02 7.88 3D 02 8.002D 02 8.0046D 02 8.125D 02 8.125D 02 8.125D 02 8.125D 02 8.335D 02 8.371D 03 1.1219D 03 1.219D 03 1.535D 03 1.739D 03 1.535D 03 1.739D 03 1.535D 03 1.739D 03 1.219D 03	RANGE
4.503 03	2.316D 03	5.165D 02	4.308D 05	
5.003 03	2.747D 03	5.192D 02	5.970D 05	
5.500 03	3.209D 03	5.201D 02	8.024D 05	
6.003 03	3.701D 03	5.201D 02	1.051D 06	
6.503 03	4.225D 03	5.193D 02	1.349D 06	
7.003 03	4.778D 03	5.181D 02	1.699D 06	
2.000 03 2.500 03 3.000 03 3.500 03 4.500 03 5.000 03	6.6030 02 9.2180 02 1.2190 03 1.5520 03 1.9180 03 2.3160 03 2.7470 03	4.399) 02 4.663) 02 4.884) 02 5.025) 02 5.114) 02 5.1650 02 5.192) 02	3.687D 04 7.128D 04 1.238D 05 1.985D 05 2.994D 05 4.308D 05 5.970D 05	2.908D-01 2.896D-01 2.885D-01 2.871D-01 2.854D-01 2.834D-01 2.813D-01
7.50) 03	5.3600 03	5.166D 02	2.108D 06	2.708D-01
8.00) 03	5.9720 03	5.148) 02	2.579D 06	2.669D-01
8.50) 03	6.6130 03	5.129) 02	3.117D 06	2.670D-01
9.00) 03	7.2820 03	5.109) 02	3.729D 06	2.652D-01
9.50) 03	7.3800 03	5.088) 02	4.418D 06	2.634D-01
1.00) 04	8.7050 03	5.068) 02	5.191D 06	2.617D-01

TABLE 3-JULVERSAL FUNCTIONS EMPLOYED IN THE EVALUATION OF INVERSE STALL CONTRIBUTIONS TO INVERSE MEAN FREE PATH, STOPPING CVA SNOITINITED SECTION 2001 SECTION 1001 SECTION 10